UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

United States of America,

,

Plaintiff

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17

21

22

Kenton Hardy King,

Defendant

Order Scheduling Evidentiary Hearing

Case No.: 2:20-cr-00344-JAD-DJA

Defendant Kenton Hardy King is charged with sexual exploitation of children, attempted sex trafficking of children, possession of child pornography, and coercion and enticement. He moves to suppress the evidence found on his cell phone, arguing that the search warrant was overbroad and did not properly incorporate an affidavit that would have provided more specificity. While the original round of briefing and orders focused on the search of cell-phone data performed by Detective K. Skinner, later rounds of briefing shifted the spotlight to the initial forensic extraction of that data by Detective J. Spangler, and the court held a hearing to further understand the parties' respective arguments on March 25, 2024.

Although that hearing was useful, further developed the record, and pointed the court to controlling authority, there remain blind spots in the record that prevent the court from fully and fairly deciding the motion to suppress. Because the nature and scope of Spangler's work and the information on which he performed it are described only by the vague, passive-language statements in the "Narratives" at ECF No. 75-7, this court remains unable to tell from this record (1) what, exactly, Spangler did (and thus determine whether he performed a search under the

23

¹ ECF No. 1.

1	Fourth Amend	ment); and (2) whether and when he was given, or had access to, the affidavit in
2	support of the	warrant.
3	IT IS T	HEREFORE ORDERED that the court will conduct an evidentiary hearing on
4	Thursday, Ap	ril 4, 2024, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom 6D of the Lloyd D. George U.S.
5	Courthouse in	Las Vegas, Nevada. The court expects that the government will present the
6	testimony of D	etective Spangler, who should be prepared to testify in detail about his
7	involvement in	this case, including but not limited to:
8	(1)	What, exactly, Spangler did with King's devices that are the subject of the report
9		at ECF No. 75-7;
10	(2)	What items, information, or data Spangler observed during that work;
11	(3)	When and how Spangler received the warrant referenced on page 9 of 10 of the
12		report [ECF No. 75-7 at 10]; and
13	(4)	Whether the affidavit in support of the search warrant was included with
14		Spangler's warrant copy.
15		
16		H.G. D. A. D. A. D.
17		U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey March 26, 2024
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		